

**DEVELOPMENTAL, PERSONALITY AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY** 



# **COMPUTER TRAINING OF ATTENTION AND INHIBITION FOR YOUNGSTERS WITH OBESITY:**

## **A PILOT-STUDY.**

Verbeken, S., Braet, C., <u>Naets, T.</u>, Boendermaker, W., Houben, K., Tanghe, A.

### INTRODUCTION

The "Obesity Epidemic" begins in childhood





Limited success of Multidisciplinary Obesity Treatment (MOT)



## THE ROLE OF EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONING (EF)

**Cognitive control: goal-directed behavior, resisting temptations** Dual Pathway (Appelhans, et al., 2011)



**Top-down Inhibition (thinking before acting towards LT-goals) Bottom-up Attention & Approach (towards rewarding stimuli)** 



AIM =DOES EF-TRAINING RESULT IN BETTER EF & WEIGHT LOSS MAINTENANCE IN COMPARISON TO A CONTROL **CONDITION?** 

### **METHOD**

**PARTICIPANTS** N=36, *M*=12y (*SD* = 1.47), 53 % ♀ **Obese Youngsters Inpatient Treatment** 

### **INSTRUMENTS**

Weight : "Adjusted BMI" (Van Winckel & Van Mil, 2001) EF: BRIEF & BRIEF-SR (Smidts & Huizinga, 2009)

**DESIGN = 2 CONDITION** Experimental (Active\* EF, N=21) **TASKS (EF- measurement + training)** 

Inhibition = "Go No Go" **Approach = "Approach/avoidance" Attention bias = "Dot Probe"** 

## PROCEDURE "On top" of MOT measurement (pre), 6 sessions training (5 weeks), measurement post + FU (8 weeks)







## vs Control (Passive\* EF, N=15)

(\*Active/passive based on contingency-relationship cue/action)

## RESULTS

### **REPEATED MEASURES ANOVA**

- **DESCRIPTIVES = No age/gender/BMI differences between conditions**
- **COGNITIVE TRAINING EFFECT = no significant changes pre-post & no differences in conditions**
- WEIGHT EVOLUTION
  - Significant evolution pre versus post
  - No significant changes pre versus FU or post versus FU
  - No significant differences in conditions

EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONING = significant differences between conditions (less IC problems in experimental group)

### DISCUSSION

### **EVALUATION**

- + Trainable, with effects on behavioral executive functioning
- Limited effects, no translation in weight differences



### **POSSIBLE EXPLANATIONS AND SOLUTIONS**

- Increase in sample sizes
- Specific knowledge on childhood processes (different from adults)
- Focus on motivational aspects
- Changing stimuli exposure: unhealthy-neutral instead of unhealthy-healthy
- Training environment with less distracting factors
- Specific food environment in MOT: need of booster-sessions after treatment/FU

GHENT UNIVERSITY	,

### Contact

www.ugent.be Tiffany.Naets@UGent.be +32(09) 264.64.22